



Paper No. 4

John Vanden Bosche
17405 NE 131 St.
Redmond, WA 98052

APR — 3 2002

In re Application of:
Calley et al.
Application No. 09/975,240
Filed: October 12, 2001

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(c), filed October 23, 2001, to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.), § 708.02, Item V: Environmental Quality and Item VI: Energy.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(c), and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item V, for inventions which materially enhance the quality of the environment of mankind by contributing to the restoration or maintenance of the basic life-sustaining natural elements, i.e., air, water, and soil, must be accompanied by statements under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the invention contributes to the restoration or maintenance of one of these life-sustaining elements. No fee is required for this petition.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(c), and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VI, for inventions which materially contribute to (A) the discovery or development of energy resources, or (B) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, must be accompanied by statements under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the invention materially contributes to category (A) or (B) set forth above. No fee is required for this petition.

Petitioner asserts that the present invention will materially contribute to the development or conservation of energy resources by contributing to the development of wind energy resources.

The petition satisfies the requirements pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 708.02. Accordingly, the petition is **GRANTED**.


The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and s/he should make a rigid search for such, s/he is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, s/he should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that s/he is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference. Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application become involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Michael L. Gellner at 703-308-1721.



Michael L. Gellner, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2800
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical
Systems and Components